Application-level QoS Negotiation and Signaling for Advanced Multimedia Services in the IMS

Lea Skorin-Kapov and Miran Mosmondor

Ericsson Nikola Tesla, Research and Development Center

Krapinska 45, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia

Email: {lea.skorin-kapov, miran.mosmondor}@ericsson.com

Ognjen Dobrijevic and Maja Matijasevic

University of Zagreb, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing

Unska 3, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia

Email: {ognjen.dobrijevic, maja.matijasevic}@fer.hr

Abstract

The IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) has been recognized as a reference next generation network architecture for offering multimedia services over an Internet Protocol (IP) based infrastructure. One of the key benefits of the IMS is efficient and flexible introduction of new services and access to third-party application providers, thanks to standard interfaces and standardized service capabilities. In order to support novel media-rich applications across a wide range of user devices and access networks, the IMS must support negotiable quality of service (QoS) for IP multimedia sessions. In this paper we describe the application-level QoS signaling as specified by the 3GPP, and propose some enhancements based on advanced QoS parameter matching and optimization functionality to be included along the signaling path. We outline various signaling flow scenarios and discuss them in the context of a case study involving an IMS-supported 3D virtual environment, featuring a Treasure-Hunt-like game.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the move to an all-IP network architecture, the IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) has been recognized as the key element for providing ubiquitous access to IP multimedia services in UMTS and future fixed-mobile converged networks [1]. Initially specified by the Third Generation Partnership Project (3GPP) and now embraced by other standards bodies including the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI), the IMS has been defined as a multimedia session control subsystem based on a horizontally layered architecture and encompassing core network elements for the provision of multimedia services [2]. While each service comes with a unique set of requirements on network performance, end users will expect services to seamlessly work across a wide range of user devices and access networks. As opposed to the currently available best effort service on the Internet, the IMS provides Quality of Service (QoS) mechanisms aimed to offer users predictable and enhanced service quality.

For the IMS operator, the goal of QoS negotiation is to determine "the best" service configuration and network resources allocation that would maximize user perceived service quality. Reaching this goal involves end-to-end (E2E) application-level QoS negotiation and signaling via IMS network entities. The IMS procedures for negotiating multimedia session characteristics are specified by the 3GPP and are based on Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) [3], Session Description Protocol (SDP) [4] and their extensions as needed.

As future IMS services are expected to increasingly include media-rich applications customized to meet user preferences and capabilities, the networks face dealing with complex and dynamically changing QoS requirements. While existing 3GPP specifications describe procedures for QoS negotiation and signaling for multimedia applications such as audio/video communication and multimedia messaging, the support for more advanced services, involving interactive applications with diverse and interdependent media components is not addressed specifically, and presents an open area of research [5], [6]. Examples of such applications, likely to be offered by third-party application providers and not the IMS operator itself, include collaborative virtual environments, smart home applications, networked games, and innovative applications such as interactive story-telling.

This paper deals with application-level QoS negotiation and signaling in the IMS. After presenting the mechanisms currently specified by the 3GPP in the first part of the paper, in the second part we discuss the need for more enhanced mechanisms (beyond those currently specified) to meet the demands of future advanced IP multimedia services to be supported by the IMS. We also present one possible solution, based on introducing a new SIP Application Server (AS) within the IMS domain, to provide advanced QoS parameter matching and optimization (QMO) functionality within the QoS negotiation process. In addition to providing a better service to users, introducing enhanced QoS support in the network as a generic "reusable" service capability would benefit both the IMS operator and third-party service providers. The IMS operator would have additional means to control, differentiate, and appropriately charge the QoS a particular user receives for a given multimedia session. The service provider would have to specify a service profile stating service requirements and options and would further be relieved from implementing complex QoS decision making functionality for each new service being introduced, hence leading to simplified provisioning and quicker time-to-market for new services requiring such mechanisms. We outline various signaling flow scenarios and discuss them in the context of a case study involving an IMS-supported 3D virtual environment, featuring a Treasure-Hunt-like game. Assuming that the game is hosted by a third-party AS, and that the advanced QoS negotiation is supported by the QMO AS, owned by the IMS operator, we have identified events occurring during the gaming session that lead to the need for QoS (re)negotiation and adaptation of QoS parameters. We present and discuss corresponding SIP signaling flows and summarize the advantages of the proposed approach.

The paper is organized as follows. The multimedia session QoS negotiation in the IMS is described in Section II. In Section III, we discuss the high-level requirements for enhanced QoS negotiation for more advanced multimedia applications, and mechanisms needed to meet these requirements. Possible enhancements to QoS negotiation in the IMS are presented in Section IV. Signaling for a case study involving an IMS-supported virtual environment game is described in Section V. Section VI concludes the paper with a discussion of the benefits drawn from enhancing the IMS service provision with generic QMO functionality, and presents open issues for future research.

II. APPLICATION-LEVEL QoS NEGOTIATION IN IMS

The IMS procedures for negotiating multimedia session characteristics between session endpoints are specified by 3GPP, with basic flow diagrams and session handling described in [2] and [7], and extensive descriptions of the contents of signaling flows based on SIP/SDP given in [8]. They include determining the initial media characteristics for a session, modification of an already established session by adding/removing a media flow, changing media characteristics, and changing bandwidth requirements.

The entities involved in IMS session establishment are shown in the simplified IMS architecture in Fig. 1. The IMS session endpoints may be either IMS terminal(s), referred to as User Equipment (UE); or AS(s), entities that host and execute IMS services. Examples of ASs being already deployed by IMS operators include Push-to-talk over Cellular (PoC), presence, messaging, and shared whiteboard ASs. As shown in the figure, an AS may be located in the home network, as well as in external third-party IP-based network.

Fig. 1.   Simplified view of IMS architecture.

The general procedure for IMS session establishment begins with a UE obtaining access to the IMS through an access network. The next steps involve allocation of a Proxy-Call Session Control Function (P-CSCF) to serve as an outbound/inbound SIP proxy, and SIP application-level registration to the IMS network. The P-CSCF may be located in either the home network, as shown in Fig. 1, or in the visited network. The P-CSCF also interfaces with a Policy Decision Function (PDF), which authorizes the use of bearer and QoS resources for IMS services within the access network. The IMS node responsible for session establishment, modification, and release is the Serving-CSCF (S-CSCF) located in the user's home network and acting as a SIP server. All SIP signaling to and from the UE traverses the allocated S-CSCF. The S-CSCF interrogates the Home Subscriber Server (HSS) in order to access user profile information, fetch subscription data, and for authentication, authorization, and accounting purposes. Additionally, the S-CSCF plays an important role in service provision by invoking one or more ASs.

The signaling between IMS entities for the IP multimedia session is shown in Fig. 2. The session end-points in this example are UE and AS. (IMS registration procedure and interaction with the HSS are assumed but not shown). The session negotiation procedure is based on the SDP offer/answer model [9], which provides a mechanism for entities to use SDP to arrive at a common view of a multimedia session between them (e.g., media components, codecs, IP addresses, and ports that will be used). The model involves one entity offering the other a description of desired session parameters, and the other entity answering with the desired session parameters from its perspective. A number of recent extensions for SIP and SDP, specified within the IETF working groups SIP, SIPPING, and MMUSIC, allow additional ways of specifying user preferences, as well as identifying media components within multimedia sessions.

The client applications are usually designed in such a way to come with predefined parameters, and a simple to use, user-friendly interface for negotiable parameters. Possible parameters to be negotiated include type, quality,

Fig. 2. Signaling for a basic IP multimedia session in IMS.

and encoding of media, terminal capabilities to be used, and desired QoS per media stream (e.g., guaranteed QoS or best effort). A typical scenario involves a user sending an offer via his UE to an AS (or other UE) indicating support for a number of codecs, the AS (other UE) responding with a subset of supported codecs, P-CSCF entities authorizing resources for common codecs, and the user making a final media and codec selection.

III. HIGH-LEVEL REQUIREMENTS FOR ENHANCED QoS NEGOTIATION

With the transition to media-rich services, the relationship between the application and the network aspects of communication also becomes more complex [10]. Starting from the basic application-level QoS negotiation procedure described in Section II, we argue that additional mechanisms (beyond those currently specified) are needed for media-rich services QoS negotiation.

The first issue is related to user preferences in terms of multimedia parameters and relative "importance" of IP multimedia application components. For example, if a user specifies maximum bandwidth availability (constrained by terminal, access network, cost, etc.) then standards do not specify how to optimally distribute bandwidth across different media components comprising the service, with the aim of achieving maximum user perceived quality. A situation to illustrate this case may be a user playing a networked game that consists of the 3D virtual world and optional text and/or audio chat with other players. In this particular game, the timing constraints for displaying and interaction with the virtual world may be "the most relevant", with audio coming as second, and text chat as third in terms of relevance.

The second issue is related to network constraints. For multimedia applications, there is a need to negotiate and specify the way in which a service will adapt to dynamic changes in the network occurring during the service execution. For example, if the available bandwidth is unexpectedly reduced (e.g., on a wireless link), the decision how to gracefully degrade the service, or which media component to drop if necessary, would probably be user-dependent, as well as application-dependent. However, for the particular user and application, this choice may be pretty straightforward - in our previous example, the desired action may be to drop audio chat, or switch to text-based chat instead, and try to maintain the maximum achievable frame rate.

The third issue is related to service requirements. For example, the service provider may design the application in several customized versions (similar to WWW as "high-end" and WAP pages as "low-end" information service). To use the above example, the networked game may be offered in two versions: a "default version" with nice graphics, and a "low-cost version", which is suitable for users accessing the game server via modem connection. Assuming that, during play, the user's prepaid card budget is getting low, (s)he may want to switch to a low-cost (lower-quality) version of the game in order to continue playing. This event may be considered a trigger leading to QoS renegotiation and service adaptation. A key matter is relating user/service requirements and transport QoS

parameters. Furthermore, service requirements may change dynamically during the course of a service lifetime (e.g., with the addition/removal of media flows), again calling for renegotiation and adaptation mechanisms.

Missing in current standards is a technique covering all identified issues in a comprehensive manner. Mechanisms are needed providing a more advanced decision-making process based on matching restrictive user parameters, service requirements, and network constraints, with the goal of achieving maximum user perceived service quality. To this extent, our contribution is a model for dynamic negotiation and adaptation of QoS [11], which uses as a basis generic client and service profiles. A *client profile* specifies user terminal and access network constraints and application related preferences such as media components. A *service profile* may specify different supported configurations (versions) of the service, e.g., differing in media components, codecs, display size, or processing requirements, in order to address the issues related to heterogeneity stemming from diverse end-user capabilities and preferences. The service profile may also specify adaptation policy, or the actions to be taken in adapting the service to changing network conditions (e.g., switch from codec C1 to codec C2 when a certain bandwidth threshold is reached). The profiles, together with network constraints, are jointly considered for session QoS (re)negotiation. A detailed explanation of the QoS negotiation process, generic client/service profiles, and matching and optimization algorithms may be found in [11]. Related work on matching and coordinating QoS parameters for multimedia applications can be found in [5], proposing an End-to-End Negotiation Protocol for the active negotiation of *QoS contracts* (service configurations) between users.

A. Procedure for session negotiation/renegotiation

The purpose of E2E QoS negotiation is to determine the final *service operating point*, based on matching the specified user, service, and network parameters. The service operating point refers to the final service configuration to be delivered to the user. We propose the steps involved in a QoS negotiation procedure in Fig. 3. This rather generic procedure is later discussed in terms of possible mapping onto IMS and in the context of a user accessing a service hosted by an AS.

Fig. 3. Generic QoS negotiation procedure.

Inputs to the negotiation procedure include client profile, service profile, and network constraints. Upon a user's request for a particular service, the client application sends a service request, accompanied by the client profile. The client profile may be stored locally (in UE), or referenced in an external repository of client profiles (for example, HSS in IMS). A service profile may be retrieved from the AS hosting the service, or from an external repository. As shown in Fig. 3, specified parameters serve as input to a matching process (I). The matching process serves to select zero or more *feasible service configurations* as determined by the input parameters. A service configuration is considered feasible when all the following conditions are met:

- A user's terminal capabilities can support the service processing requirements;

- The user's access network can support the minimum requirements for all (required) media objects;

- The user's preferences in terms of acceptable cost, media components and timing constraints can be met.

The matching process is followed by a negotiation process (II). The offered set of potential session parameters from feasible service configurations is returned to the user. The user may then accept or refuse the (subset of) offered parameters. Network entities then authorize resources based on the agreed parameters. The authorization includes limits on data rates and traffic classes for uplink/downlink flows, and is based on QoS policy and admission control mechanisms in the network.

Based on negotiated and authorized session parameters, feasible service configurations are ordered according to achievable user perceived quality into a so-called *degradation path*, from the highest to the lowest quality configuration. Establishment of a degradation path is determined by user preferences (e.g., a user considers audio to be more valuable than video). This is used when service degradation or upgrading is necessary. The service profile corresponding to the highest quality feasible configuration is passed on to the optimization process (III).

The goal of the optimization process is to calculate the *optimal service operating point* and respective resource allocation across all media flows comprising a multimedia service according to established objective. The optimization objective may be formulated dynamically, e.g., based on user preferences indicating that a user wishes to achieve: (1) maximum possible service quality; or (2) minimum cost while maintaining acceptable service quality; or (3) the best "value for money" service. This paper does not aim to further specify the actual optimization problem formulation and algorithm(s) to be used, as this decision may be left to the operator. A possible solution has been presented in our previous work [12], where the objective of maximizing service quality is formulated as a linear combination of media flow utility functions (relating user perceived service value and allocated network resources per flow) multiplied by weight factors (indicating relative media flow "importance" based on user preferences).

After the calculation is completed, the network resource reservation procedures (IV) are invoked. If successful, customized multimedia content is retrieved from the AS and delivered to the user (V).

The above cycle may be repeated at any time during service execution, in response to a "significant change" in relevant factor(s) that affect service feasibility. For scalability and performance reasons, it is clearly not realistic, nor desired, to assume re-calculation of the optimal operating point at every change. Instead, thresholds may be established indicating events that trigger modifications "significant enough" for re-calculation, and subsequently leading to QoS renegotiation. Such triggers may come both from the network (e.g., degraded wireless link), or from the multimedia application (e.g., a user's action within the networked game). What is important to note is that triggers coming from the application are related to the *semantics* of the application, and can thus be taken into account during the application design. In this way, the application-level adaptation (for example, multimedia stream buffering) may also be performed to improve the user-perceived quality.

IV. POSSIBLE ENHANCEMENTS TO IP MULTIMEDIA SUBSYSTEM

Considering the QoS negotiation requirements of advanced multimedia services described in the previous section, we now focus on issues related to meeting such requirements in the IMS. Rather than standardizing IMS services,

3GPP specifies an architecture enabling services to use standardized service "building blocks", offered as common IMS functions and service enablers. The leading industry forum providing specifications for market driven service enablers (e.g., "presence", "group list management", "location", etc.) is the Open Mobile Alliance (OMA).

Our proposed enhancement relates to scenarios in which an advanced IP multimedia service is hosted by an external AS, and is thus not subject to standardization as such, but which makes use of common IMS enablers. This approach is similar to Parlay/OSA principles for making common network capabilities available via standardized interfaces. We propose to integrate described QMO functionality, and add it in the form of a *QMO AS*, along the IMS Service Control (ISC) interface within the IMS domain. As such, the QMO AS would be considered a reusable service building block offered by the IMS network, relieving third party service providers of implementing complex QMO procedures.

With the specification of generic client and service profiles, the implementation of QMO functions is independent of the actual service content. As with every other AS, the decision whether or not to involve the QMO AS for a particular service would be made by the S-CSCF.

With respect to the currently standardized application-level signaling for QoS negotiation in the IMS, the approach presented in this work offers the following benefits:

- The service delivered to the end user is customized to optimally meet user terminal/network capabilities, media preferences, and budget constraints.
- Various dynamic QoS parameter adaptation scenarios are supported based on triggers received by the QMO AS from session endpoints and the underlying network.
- With the specification of standard client and service profiles and the introduction of a generic QMO function, new services deployed within the IMS can inherit this functionality and include advanced QoS negotiation and optimization support.
- Having additional knowledge about IP multimedia application components offers another mechanism for routing application requests and selecting between multiple ASs.

V. CASE STUDY: QOS SIGNALING FOR A VIRTUAL ENVIRONMENT

A case study is presented to illustrate the inclusion of a QMO AS along the signaling path. The case study uses a Web-based multimedia application hosted by a third-party "end-point AS". The prototype application, called *Inheritance Chase*, is a multi-user 3D virtual environment featuring an interactive adventure game in which users are given a series of "clues" to direct them to a hidden "last will" left behind by a deceased relative, which is the key to his treasure. The clues are to be found in the form of streamed audio and video clips (using the Java Media Framework API), activated by user's actions, or in some cases by proximity to objects. The world is divided into two 3D scenes, implemented in Virtual Reality Modeling Language: an island world, and a large chessboard related to one of the hints (Fig. 4). All players are represented with human-like 3D virtual characters.

Implementation of the "end-point AS", which hosts this service, includes (1) SIP signaling functionality for session control, and (2) multimedia content handling functionality. Both were developed for the purpose of this

Fig. 4. Snapshots of the Inheritance Chase game.

application which runs on a common service platform (Web server). The game has three "service versions", which differ in multimedia components and quality thereof, as follows:

- Version 1: textured 3D graphics objects with high quality audio-and-video streaming;
- Version 2: textured 3D graphics objects with low quality audio-and-video streaming; and
- Version 3: textured 3D graphics objects with low quality audio-only streaming.

The particular service version to be delivered to a user is determined by the QoS negotiation process.

A. QoS Negotiation and Adaptation Scenarios

Various events, or triggers, dynamically occurring during the game can lead to the invocation of QoS negotiation and adaptation procedures. We have identified five such events to illustrate the procedures and to analyze corresponding IMS signaling flows:

- Event 1: Session establishment is invoked by an end user. This involves UE registration to the IMS network, negotiation of initial service parameters, and service retrieval (3D scene download). Network resources are authorized and reserved.
- Event 2: Scene download is completed and an indication is sent to the network to free the resources reserved for download.
- Event 3: A change in service requirements is caused by the user initiating an audio and/or a video stream. Stream parameters are negotiated and corresponding network resources are authorized and reserved.
- Event 4: A change in the client profile is caused by a change in user preferences (e.g., the user has chosen to switch to a low cost session). This leads to the renegotiation and adaptation of service parameters and reserved network resources.
- Event 5: A change in network resource availability is detected by the network, leading to renegotiation and adaptation procedures.

B. End-to-end Session Signaling Flows in the IMS

The diagram in Fig. 5 shows the SIP signaling in the case study, while signaling related to network resource authorization and reservation is omitted for simplification purposes. SIP messages specify session parameters and client/service profiles by using the XML-based SDP-next-generation (SDPng).

Initial session establishment signaling (Event 1) includes negotiation of session parameters that will/may be active throughout the session. Consequently, at initiation a user can agree to certain parameters that may not be active at the start of the session, but may be relevant later (e.g., a user joins a gaming session that will later involve audio/video streaming). The benefit is that renegotiation time and signaling traffic are reduced (when dynamic changes occur

during the session, the user is simply updated). In addition, the user is less likely to experience session failure later, since those service configurations that are not and will not be supported by the user are discarded early in the process.

Fig. 5. SIP signaling in the case study.

In addition to session establishment signaling, the diagram in Fig. 5 shows signaling messages triggered by Events 3, 4, and 5. Signaling for "Change in service requirements", initiated by the end-point AS, depicts the scenario in which a user, while playing the game, activates a streaming audio/video clue. The "Change in network resources" scenario depicts signaling being triggered by an increase or a decrease in available/authorized resources detected by the network. This results in the calculation of a new service configuration and resource allocation. A change occurring in the client profile may result in the user sending a SIP re-INVITE message, with the remainder of the call flow being essentially equivalent to initial session establishment.

In comparison, the standard 3GPP session control procedures in IMS specify matching functionality performed by session endpoints (UE and AS hosting the game), while optimization functionality is not specified.

C. Testbed and measurements

For the purposes of experimentation, and as a basis for future study, we created a laboratory testbed (Fig. 6). All software runs on standard PCs in a 100 Mbit/s LAN. Emulated P-CSCF and both ASs are implemented as SIP servers and proxies using the NIST-SIP package, a certified JAIN (*Java APIs for Integrated Networks*) SIP implementation. The SIP Core is implemented in C++ and uses the ESip stack Version 1.0.6 developed by Ericsson AB. Network parameters are emulated by using NIST Net.

Fig. 6. Logical view of the testbed.

We captured and analyzed the SIP signaling traffic in different game scenarios, caused by the events listed in the previous section. We repeated the run five times and calculated the average values. The results obtained are summarized here for illustration purposes, without attempt to set numerical objectives for the values measured.

- The average time needed for the "Session establishment" scenario, including the time for the user to accept the offered parameters, was 7.83 s.
- After the "Session establishment" and the initial 3D world download, the resources reserved for this purpose are released. The average time interval for the related signaling to be completed was 0.19 s.
- In the "Change in service requirements" scenario, audio/video streaming was added while using the "low quality" service profile. The average time interval for the related signaling was 2.23 s.

- In the "Change in client profile" scenario, the user requests the service to switch from a low-quality to a high-quality service profile. Including the time for the user interaction to accept the offered parameters, the average time to complete the related signaling procedure was 3.78 s.

- In the "Change in resource availability" scenario, the indication of decrease in available bandwidth caused the change to a lower-quality audio codec. The average time to complete the related signaling was 1.40 s.

Although the above results can not be generalized, since they are specific to the testbed and the prototype application, they do give an idea of what may be expected in a real environment. For example, they show that renegotiation times are significantly smaller than for initial session establishment. This adheres to the logical assumption that a user is more tolerant towards initial delays than towards disruptions occurring in an ongoing session. Regarding the acceptable response time for the adaptation to take place, the authors in [5] address the active negotiation/renegotiation of QoS for multimedia, and conduct measurements to determine session establishment/management times in various emulated networks. They propose an evaluation criterion that session establishment should not last longer than 2–5 s, and adaptation during a session not longer than 1 s in order to meet a user's expectations for almost immediate reaction.

VI. Conclusions and future work

Today's Internet model is based on a user-centric view of the network, with intelligence being pushed to the communication end points and the network being used as a pipeline. The IMS model uses a more operator-centric approach with operators providing call/session control functions and offering service enabling capabilities in the IMS applications domain. To this extent, our approach aims to further enhance the IMS objectives of providing users with customized and enhanced service quality. Our QMO functionality resides on an IMS (SIP) AS and offers generic capabilities that can be shared across different applications and used to enhance service offerings.

Further research is needed to conduct measurements in both a laboratory testbed and a real network scenario deploying the IMS in order to provide insight into the amount of signaling traffic and the effects of time necessary for session establishment/renegotiation on user perceived service quality. With regards to scalability, it is clear that for a large number of users, running the QMO procedure separately for each session is definitely time consuming and costly. Besides the establishment of recalculation thresholds, a solution may be to offer a set of service configurations calculated in advance for particular combinations of constraints.

VII. Acknowledgements

References

[1] G. Camarillo and M. A. Garcia-Martin, The 3G IP Multimedia Subsystem, Merging the Internet and Cellular Worlds, John Wiley and Sons, Ltd., England, 2004.

[2] 3GPP TS 23.228: "IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS); Stage 2", Release 7, 2006-06.

[3] J. Rosenberg et al., "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol," IETF RFC 3261, June 2002.

[4] M. Handley, V. Jacobson and C. Perkins, "SDP: Session Description Protocol," IETF RFC 4566, July 2006.

[5] T. Guenkova-Luy, A. J. Kassler and D. Mandato, "End-to-End Quality-of-Service Coordination for Mobile Multimedia Applications," *IEEE J. on Selected Areas in Commun.*, Vol. 22, No. 5, pp. 889-903, June 2004.

[6] X. Wang, H. Schulzrinne, "An Integrated Resource Negotiation, Pricing, and QoS Adaptation Framework for Multimedia Applications," *IEEE J. on Selected Areas in Commun.*, Vol. 18, No. 12, December 2000.

[7] 3GPP TS 23.218: "IP Multimedia (IM) session handling; IM call model; Stage 2", Release 7, 2006-06.

[8] 3GPP TS 24.228: "IP multimedia call control protocol based on Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) and Session Description Protocol (SDP); Stage 3", Release 7, 2005-12.

[9] J. Rosenberg and H. Schulzrinne, "An Offer/Answer Model with Session Description," IETF RFC 3264, June 2002.

[10] M. Matijasevic, D. Gracanin, K. P. Valavanis and I. Lovrek, "A Framework for Multi-user Distributed Virtual Environments," *IEEE Tran. on Systems, Man and Cybernetics Part B: Cybernetics*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 416-429, August 2002.

[11] L. Skorin-Kapov and M. Matijasevic, "End-to-end QoS signaling for future multimedia services in the NGN," Proc. of the Next Generation Teletraffic and Wired/Wireless Advanced Networking. 6th Int. Conference, NEW2AN 2006. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Vol. 4003, pp. 408-19, May/June 2006.

[12] L. Skorin-Kapov, M. Matijasevic, "Dynamic QoS Negotiation and Adaptation for Networked Virtual Reality Services," Proc. of *IEEE WoWMoM 2005*, Taormina, Italy, June 2005, pp. 344-351

Figure 1 – Simplified view of IMS architecture.

AS   – Application Server
HSS   – Home Subscriber Server
ISC   – IMS Service Control
CSCF   – Call Session Control Function
S-CSCF   – Serving-CSCF
P-CSCF   – Proxy-CSCF
PDF   – Policy Decision Function
UE   – User Equipment

Figure 2 – Signaling for a basic IP multimedia session in IMS.

Figure 3 – Generic QoS negotiation procedure.

Figure 4 – Snapshots of the *Inheritance Chase* game.

Figure 5 – SIP signaling in the case study

Figure 6 – Logical view of the testbed.